



# ENROLMENT Example

ENROLMENT (a relation variable, or relvar)

StudentId	Name	CourseId
S1	Anne	C1
S1	Anne	C2
S2	Boris	C1
S3	Cindy	C3
S4	Devinder	C1

Predicate: <u>StudentId</u> is called <u>Name</u> and is enrolled on <u>CourseId</u> Note *redundancy*: S1 is *always* called Anne!

	splittin	ig Er	NKOLN	1EN I	
S_CALLE	ED	]	IS_ENROLLI	ED_ON	
StudentId	Name		StudentId	CourseId	
S1	Anne		S1	C1	
S2	Boris		S1	C2	
S3	Cindy		S2	C1	
S4	Devinder		S3	C3	
S5	Boris		S4	C1	

course CourseId

### Relations and Predicates (1)

Consider the predicate: StudentId is called Name

... is called ---- is the *intension* (meaning) of the predicate.

The parameter names are arbitrary. "S is called <u>N</u>" means the same thing (has the same intension).

The *extension* of the predicate is the set of *true* propositions that are *instantiations* of it:

 $\{ \mbox{ S1 is called Anne, S2 is called Boris, S3 is called Cindy, S4 is called Devinder, S5 is called Boris }$ 

Each tuple in the body (extension) of the relation provides the values to substitute for the parameters in one such instantiation.

3

Name

# Relations and Predicates (2)

Moreover, each proposition in the extension has exactly one corresponding tuple in the relation.

- This 1:1 correspondence reflects the Closed-World Assumption:
  - A tuple representing a true instantiation is in the relation. A tuple representing a false one is out.

The Closed-World Assumption underpins the operators we are about to meet.

## Relational Algebra

Operators that operate on relations and return relations.

In other words, operators that are *closed over* relations. Just as arithmetic operators are closed over numbers.

Closure means that every invocation can be an operand, allowing expressions of arbitrary complexity to be written. Just as, in arithmetic, e.g., the invocation b-c is an operand of a+(b-c).

The operators of the relational algebra are relational counterparts of *logical* operators: AND, OR, NOT, EXISTS. Each, when invoked, yields a relation, which can be interpreted as the extension of some predicate.

#### Logical Operators

Because relations are used to represent predicates, it makes sense for relational operators to be counterparts of operators on predicates. We will meet examples such as these:

Student <u>StudentId</u> is called <u>Name</u> **AND** <u>StudentId</u> is enrolled on course <u>CourseId</u>.

Student <u>StudentId</u> is enrolled on some course.

Student <u>StudentId</u> is enrolled on course <u>CourseId</u> AND <u>StudentId</u> is **NOT** called Devinder.

Student<u>Id</u> is NOT enrolled on any course **OR** <u>StudentId</u> is called Boris.

Logic	Relational counterpart
AND	JOIN restriction (WHERE) extension SUMMARIZE and some more
EXISTS	projection
OR	UNION
(AND) NOT	(semi)difference
	RENAME

	JO	IN (= A	ND)		
StudentId is	s called <u>Nar</u>	ne AND Stude	entId is enrolle	d on <u>Coursel</u>	<u>d</u> .
					-
IS_CA	ALLED	JOIN	IS_ENRO	LLED_ON	
Name	StudentId		StudentId	CourseId	
Anne	S1	-	• S1	C1	ĺ
Boris	S2		• S1	C2	
Cindy	S3		• S2	C1	
Devinder	S4		• S3	C3	
Boris	S5		• S4	C1	
		-		10	

## IS\_CALLED JOIN IS\_ENROLLED\_ON

StudentId	Name	CourseId
S1	Anne	C1
S1	Anne	C2
S2	Boris	C1
S3	Cindy	C3
S4	Devinder	C1

Seen this before? Yes, this is our original ENROLMENT. The JOIN has reversed the split. (And has "lost" the second Boris.)

11

### Definition of JOIN

Let s = rl **JOIN** r2. Then:

The heading Hs of s is the union of the headings of r1 and r2.

The body of s consists of those tuples having heading Hs that can be formed by taking the union of t1 and t2, where t1 is a tuple of r1 and t2 is a tuple of r2.

If c is a common attribute, then it must have the same declared type in both rl and r2. (I.e., if it doesn't, then rl JOIN r2 is undefined.)

Note: JOIN, like AND, is both commutative and associative.

12

		RENAN	1E		
	4	<u>Sid1</u> is called <u>N</u>	ame		
	IS_CALLE	ED RENAME (	StudentId	AS Sid1)	
StudentId	Name	ļ,	Sid1	Name	
Studentia S1	Anne		Sla1	Anne	
S2	Boris		S2	Boris	
S3	Cindy		S3	Cindy	
S4	Devinder		S4	Devinder	
S5	Boris		S5	Boris	
					13







### Interesting Properties of JOIN

It is *commutative*: r1 JOIN  $r2 \equiv r2$  JOIN r1

It is *associative*:  $(r1 \text{ JOIN } r2) \text{ JOIN } r3 \equiv r1 \text{ JOIN } (r2 \text{ JOIN } r3)$ So **Tutorial D** allows  $\text{JOIN} \{r1, r2, ...\}$  (note the braces)

We note in passing that these properties are important for *optimisation* (in particular, of query evaluation).

Of course it is no coincidence that logical AND is also both commutative and associative.

17



#### Definition of Projection

Let  $s = r \{ Al, ..., An \}$ ( =  $r \{ ALL BUT Bl, ... Bm \}$ )

The heading of s is the subset of the heading of r given by  $\{AI, \dots, An\}$ .

The body of s consists of each tuple that can be formed from a tuple of r by removing from it the attributes named  $B1, \dots Bm$ .

Note that the cardinality of s can be less than that of r but cannot be more than that of r.

How ENROLMENT Was Split

VAR IS\_CALLED BASE SAME\_TYPE\_AS (ENROLMENT { StudentId, Name }) KEY { StudentId }; IS\_CALLED := ENROLMENT { StudentId, Name };

VAR IS\_ENROLLED\_ON BASE SAME\_TYPE\_AS (ENROLMENT { ALL BUT Name }) KEY { StudentId, CourseId } ; IS\_ENROLLED\_ON := ENROLMENT { ALL BUT Name }; Can be done even more economically—see the Notes!

## Special Cases of Projection

What is the result of R  $\{ ALL BUT \}$ ?

R

What is the result of R  $\{ \}$ ?

A relation with no attributes at all, of course!

There are two such relations, of cardinality 1 and 0. The pet names TABLE\_DEE and TABLE\_DUM have been advanced for these two, respectively.

21

19

# Another Special Case of JOIN

What is the result of R JOIN TABLE\_DEE ?

R

So TABLE\_DEE is the *identity* under JOIN (cf. 0 under addition and 1 under multiplication.)