Signal Processing and Computations in the Brain

Lecture by Professor E T Rolls, Dept Computer Science.

- Is the brain a digital signal processor?
- Digital vs continuous signals
- Digital signals involve streams of binary encoded numbers
- The brain uses digital, all or none, action potentials or spikes for information transmission in its neuronal axons over distances of 1 mm to several metres to avoid the uncertain decay of an analog signal in a long non-uniform cable.
 But the spikes are then converted into analog signals within a neuron, which has a threshold for the summed depolarization produced by many small synaptic currents to elicit an action potential, which is binary.
- Synaptic currents to elicit an action potential, which is binary.
 The brain does not work by logical operations as in digital computers, but instead by the similarity of an input vector of firing rates with a synaptic weight vector to produce a post-synaptic potential.
- The spikes in the brain have Poisson timing, i.e. are random in time for a given mean firing rate. This leads to stochastic processing in the brain, related to the timing of the digital inputs to a neuron.

Rolls,E.T. (2023) Brain Computations and Connectivity. Oxford University Press: Open Access from www.oxcns.org. www.oxcns.org Edmund.Rolls@warwick.ac.uk





Brain computation vs Digital computer computation	
Dot product similarity	vs logical functions e.g. AND, NAND, OR
blowed by a threshold	
10,000 inputs per neuron	vs several inputs to a logic gate
Content-addressable memory	vs data accessed by address
Fault tolerant: dot product	vs no inherent fault tolerance
Generalization and completion	vs only exact match in the hardware
Fast: inherently parallel update of a	vs serial processing with fast components
euron, and of neurons in a network	
Approximate, low precision	vs high precision 64 bit
Stochastic spiking noise:	vs noise free because of non-linearities
robabilistic computation	
elps originality, creativity	
Dynamics are parallel –	vs inherently serial
n attractor network retrieves in 1 - 2	
me constants of the synapses.	
D. Heuristics, e.g.	vs attempt to understand a whole scene
variant object recognition	
ses temporo-spatial constraints,	
nalyses only part of a scene.	
1. Syntax: none inherent	vs syntactical operations on data at an address
2. The architecture adapts	vs fixed architecture with different software
implement different computations.	
3. Sparse distributed representation	vs binary encoding in a computer word, e.g. 64 bits
4. Mind vs brain	vs software vs hardware









 $y_i = f(h_i)$ This activation function f may be linear, sigmoid, binary threshold, etc.







FRONTAL

• 46

Hippocampal 'Memory'

+:PP

LIP. VIP. DP. 7

TEO,TE

TE,TG

28































Right – convergence in the ventral stream cortical hierarchy for object recognition. LGN, lateral geniculate nucleus; V1, visual cortex area V1; TEO, posterior inferior temporal cortex; TE, anterior inferior temporal cortex (IT).

Left – convergence as implemented in VisNet, the model of invariant visual object recognition described here. Convergence through the hierarchical feedforward network is designed to provide Layer 4 neurons with information from across the entire input retina, by providing an increase of receptive field size of 2.5 times at each stage. Layer 1 of the VisNet model corresponds to V2 in the brain, and Layer 4 to the anterior inferior temporal visual cortex (TE).

1. Dot product similarity vs logical functions e.g. AND, NAND, OR followed by a threshold 2. 10,000 inputs per neuron vs several inputs to a logic gate Content-addressable memory vs data accessed by address vs no inherent fault tolerance 4. Fault tolerant: dot product vs only exact match in the hardware vs serial processing with fast compon 5. Generalization and completion 6. Fast: inherently parallel update of a neuron and of neurons in a network 7. Approximate, low precision vs high precision 64 bit vs noise free because of non-linearities 8. Stochastic spiking noise: probabilistic computation helps originality, creativity 9. Dynamics are parallel – an attractor network retrieves in 1 - 2 vs inherently serial time constants of the synapses 10. Heuristics, e.g. vs attempt to understand a whole scene Invariant object recognition uses temporo-spatial constraints, analyses only part of a scene. 11. Syntax: none inherent vs syntactical operations on data at an address 12. The architecture adapts vs fixed architecture with different software to implement different computations. vs binary encoding in a computer word, e.g. 64 bits vs software vs hardware 13. Sparse distributed representation . 14. Mind vs brain

Brain computation vs Digital computer computation

Signal Processing and the Brain. Further reading:

On differences between signal processing in the brain and in digital computers: On the signal processing performed by neuronal networks in the brain: On the representation of information in the brain:

Rolls, E. T. (2023) Brain Computations and Connectivity. Oxford University Press: Open Access from www.oxcns.org. (e.g. Chapter 19.1-19.4 on signal processing in the brain) Appendix B.2-B.4 are on biologically plausible networks. Section 9.2 is on hippocampal computations for memory. Section 2.8 is on a biologically plausible approach to visual object recognition. Appendix C.3 is on neuronal encoding in the brain.

Rolls, E. T. (2021) Learning invariant object and spatial view representations in the brain using slow unsupervised learning. Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience 15: 686239. doi: 10.3389/fncom.2021.686239.

Rolls, E.T. and Treves A. (2011) The neuronal encoding of information in the brain. <u>Progress in Neurobiology 95</u>: 448-490. http://www.oxcns.org/papers/508

> Papers, books, and contact information: https://www.oxcns.org Edmund.Rolls@warwick.ac.uk