Relational Database Constraints

by Hugh Darwen

One of the M359 subjects that students typically find particularly difficult is *constraints*, as expressed in both conceptual (E-R models) and in relational database designs (logical schemas). This is my attempt to explain the latter in a slightly different way to the text on the subject in Block 2, which I assume you have read. I also assume you understand the **select**, **project** and **difference** operators of the relational algebra.

A constraint is a truth-valued expression that must "always"¹ evaluate to <u>true</u>.

In order to be able to express absolutely *any* constraints that might be required, we rely on the completeness of the relational algebra. But a relational algebra expression yields a relation, not a truth value. To express a constraint, we need to be able to apply some kind of truth-valued operator—typically a comparison operator—to a relation.

It turns out that in theory we could make do with just one such comparison operator; for example: **is empty.** In other words, every constraint that might ever be needed can be written in the form r **is empty,** where r is some relational expression (possibly just a relation name).

Why do I call **is empty** a comparison operator? Because it *compares* the number of tuples in a given relation with zero. If COUNT (r) is the number of tuples in r, then r **is empty** is equivalent to COUNT (r) = 0.

Now, many constraints are naturally expressed in the form of some condition that must be <u>true</u> in every tuple of a given relation. For example, if the tuples in r each represent the occurrence of some process that has a starting time st and an ending time et, we probably want a constraint to the effect that in every tuple of r the value for st must be earlier than the value for et. If **is empty** is the only comparison operator available to us, we have to invoke a kind of double negative, remembering that if condition c is <u>true</u> in every tuple of r, then there is no tuple of r in which **not** c is <u>true</u>. Thus, we would write:

```
constraint ( select r where not ( st < et ) ) is empty
```

or, perhaps more likely, the logically equivalent:

```
constraint ( select r where et \le st ) is empty
```

Constraint Shorthands

Although every constraint can in theory be expressed using **is empty**, certain very commonly required constraints are very cumbersome and error-prone to write that way. Database languages typically provide useful **shorthands** to make them easier. A shorthand is typically written *inside the declaration* of some relation to which it applies.

For example, consider this one again:

constraint (select *r* where $et \leq st$) is empty

If r is the name of some relation declared in the logical schema, the language might usefully allow us to write this constraint, inside the declaration of r, in the simpler form

constraint st < et

¹ i.e. whenever the database is updated

thus implying that the given expression is required to be \underline{true} in every tuple of r.

An even commoner form of constraint that can conveniently be given as part of the declaration of a relation in the logical schema is the **primary key** constraint. This, too, is a shorthand for a certain comparison. For example, let the primary key of r consist of the attributes k1 and k2. Then the constraint could be expressed like this:

constraint COUNT (r) = COUNT (project r over k1, k2)²

If the number of tuples in r is the same as the number of tuples in its projection over k1 and k2, then it follows that no two tuples in r can have the same combination of values for these two attributes—if they did, they would "condense" (so to speak) to a single tuple in the projection. The typical shorthand, imbedded in the declaration of r, is of course

primary key (k1, k2)

(and the parentheses might be optional). Similarly,

```
alternate key k3
```

imbedded in the declaration of r, is shorthand for

constraint COUNT (r) = COUNT (**project** r **over** k3)

Another common form of constraint is to enforce mandatory participation of participant p1 in some relationship between p1 and p2 (as expressed in the corresponding E-R model). Mandatory participation of participant p1 typically means that every tuple in the relation representing p1 must have at least one "matching" tuple in the relation representing p2. The "matching" in question is "over" some set of attributes that are considered to common to p1 and p2. If that set is { a1, a2 }, then the required constraint can be expressed like this:

constraint (project p1 over a1, a2) difference (project p2 over a1, a2) is empty

If any tuple in p1 has values for a1 and a2 such that there is no tuple in p2 having those same values for *its a1* and a2 attributes, then the tuple (a1, a2) must appear in the result of the **difference**, which is therefore non-empty. Now, in certain very special but common circumstances, the so-called **foreign key** shorthand is available to express constraints of this particular kind. The very special circumstances are as follows (and, personally, I find them to be somewhat arbitrary and over-restrictive, so I'm afraid I can't fully justify them for you):

- p1 and p2 are both relations that are declared in the logical schema.
- The declaration of the constraint is imbedded in the declaration of relation *p1*.
- The declaration of *p2* includes the constraint declaration **primary key** (*a1, a2*)

When these conditions all pertain, the constraint can be written as part of the declaration of p1 like this:

foreign key (a1, a2) references p2

END

² I haven't used **is empty** here because we would have to resort to a bit of trickery that I don't want to be bothered with in this short paper.