

# Exercise sheet 3

CS242 Formal Specification and Verification

Autumn term 2006

## 2.1.1 Use the predicates

$A(x, y) :$   $x$  admires  $y$   
 $B(x, y) :$   $x$  attended  $y$   
 $P(x) :$   $x$  is a professor  
 $S(x) :$   $x$  is a student  
 $L(x) :$   $x$  is a lecture

and the nullary function symbol (constant)

$m :$  Mary

to translate the following into predicate logic:

- (a) Mary admires every professor.
- (b) Some professor admires Mary.
- (c) Mary admires herself.
- (d) No student attended every lecture.
- (e) No lecture was attended by every student.
- (f) No lecture was attended by any student.

## 2.2.4 Let $\phi$ be $\exists x(P(y, z) \wedge (\forall y(\neg Q(y, x) \vee P(y, z))))$ , where $P$ and $Q$ are predicate symbols with two arguments.

- (a) Draw the parse tree of  $\phi$ .
- (b) Identify all free and bound variable leaves in  $\phi$ .
- (c) Is there a variable in  $\phi$  which has free and bound occurrences?
- (d) Consider the terms  $w$  ( $w$  is a variable),  $f(x)$  and  $g(y, z)$ , where  $f$  and  $g$  are function symbols with arity 1 and 2, respectively.
  - i. Compute  $\phi[w/x]$ ,  $\phi[w/y]$ ,  $\phi[f(x)/y]$  and  $\phi[g(y, z)/z]$ .
  - ii. Which of  $w$ ,  $f(x)$  and  $g(y, z)$  are free for  $x$  in  $\phi$ ?
  - iii. Which of  $w$ ,  $f(x)$  and  $g(y, z)$  are free for  $y$  in  $\phi$ ?
- (e) What is the scope of  $\exists x$  in  $\phi$ ?
- (f) Suppose that we change  $\phi$  to  $\exists x(P(y, z) \wedge (\forall x(\neg Q(x, x) \vee P(x, z))))$ . What is the scope of  $\exists x$  now?

**2.4.5** Let  $\phi$  be the sentence  $\forall x \forall y \exists z (R(x, y) \rightarrow R(y, z))$ , where  $R$  is a predicate symbol of two arguments.

- (a) Let  $A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $R^{\mathcal{M}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(b, c), (b, b), (b, a)\}$ . Do we have  $\mathcal{M} \models \phi$ ? Justify your answer, whatever it is.
- (b) Let  $A' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a, b, c\}$  and  $R^{\mathcal{M}'} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(b, c), (a, b), (c, b)\}$ . Do we have  $\mathcal{M}' \models \phi$ ? Justify your answer, whatever it is.

**2.4.11** For each set of formulas below, show that they are consistent, i.e. that for some model and environment, each formula in the set is true:

- (b)  $\forall x \neg S(x, x), \forall x \exists y S(x, y), \forall x \forall y \forall z ((S(x, y) \wedge S(y, z)) \rightarrow S(x, z));$
- (d)  $S(x, x), \forall x \forall y (S(x, y) \rightarrow (x = y)).$

**2.5.1** Show that the following semantic entailments are not valid:

- (b)  $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow R(x)), \forall x (Q(x) \rightarrow R(x)) \models \exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$
- (d)  $\forall x \exists y S(x, y) \models \exists y \forall x S(x, y)$
- (f)  $\exists x (\neg P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \models \forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$